IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY

APPELLATE SIDE

WRIT PETITION NO.1964 OF 2004

Mr.D.B.Shukla for the Petitioner.

Mr.N.V.Bandiwadekar for Respondent No.2.

Mr.M.H.Solkar, AGP for State.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, appearances, Court's order or directions	Court's or Judge's orders
	CORAM: D.K.DESHMUKH, J.
	DATED: 4TH MAY,2005

P.C.:

Heard the learned Counsel for the Petitioner. Perused the impugned order. The School Tribunal as a fact has found that by order dated 24-8-1998 the Respondent was appointed on probation. Though in the appointment order it was mentioned that she was appointed against the vacancy which is reserved for ST candidate. The School Tribunal has found that she has continuously worked from

30-6-1998 till the date of termination order i.e. 28-3-2001. As the Respondent has completed two years of continuous service she will have to be treated as confirmed employee. In my opinion, the order impugned, which grants reinstatement in service to the Respondent does not result in failure of justice to entitle this court to interfere with order. Petition is rejected.

...